

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/014,904	12/14/2001	Pete A. Hawkins	2207/13516	4204	
7590 05/05/2005		EXAMINER			
KENNETH J. COOL			PATEL, NIMESH G		
	KOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZA	AFMAN LLP	Language I		
	RE BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEVENTH FLO	OOR ·		2112		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/014,904	HAWKINS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nimesh G. Patel	2112		

Derore the rining or all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nimesh G. Patel	2112					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folk places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	on the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid at affidavit, or other evidence ompliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F ').	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the as after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension of the final Office action, or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) by reduce any				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	#FFT;				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112 Rejection of claims 8 and 10.							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).	-		nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) abjected to:	) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ v ovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11 and 16-22</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, the because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing a nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will wit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears over and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)  13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper -	No(s) A ALL	, ve				
		Primary Patent E	xaminer				

Technology Center 2100

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

## Application No.

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation "to transmit signals to control activation of the first set of field replaceable units based upon signals received from the second set of replaceable units" in claim 16 and the limitations "two or more temperature sensors" and "two or more fan trays" in claim 16 raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Further, applicant's argument that Barenys does not disclose "a communication link to transmit signals received from a central management agent indicating a failure of one or more of a first set of field replaceable units" is not persuasive because Bareny's discloses a communication link indicating a failure of one or more field replaceable units(Column 3, Lines 57-62; Column 7, Lines 49-52).